

Dissemination activities

- **Papers**

- Review manuscript WG1-WG2: Pirazzini et al.: “European In-Situ Snow Measurements: Practices and Purposes”, *Sensors* 2018, 18(7), 2018
- Review manuscript WG3: Helmert et al.: “Snow data assimilation and validation methods for hydrological, land surface, meteorological and climate models”
- Helmert et al.: 1st Snow Data Assimilation Workshop in the framework of COST HarmoSnow ESSEM 1404, *Meteorologische Zeitschrift*
- Moreno et al.: “Differences on snow density and snow water equivalent estimation using different snow tubes: instrumental bias, variability induced by observers and influence of snow and terrain conditions” (in preparation)

- **8 workshops and 1 training school**

- **Final Action Dissemination: European Snow Booklet (Anna Haberkorn)**

- **Harmosnow webpage: harmosnow.eu**

- Lot of answers at the gap analysis have pointed out the usefulness of the information on the webpage

- **Budapest Workshop (85 participants, 31 oral and 27 poster presentations)**

- **Gap analysis**

Results of Gap Analysis questionnaire

K. Gillemot

Main facts


- **Aim:** During the mid-term review of the Action it was suggested by the reviewers that the role of the Action in bridging the gap between the developed and the inclusiveness countries should be shown

- **3 types of surveys:**
 - WG/MC members (48/220)
 - STSM (20/35)
 - Bormio School participants (5/12)


WG/MC members

Could you have gained/achieved the above without the support from the Action?

Non-inclusiveness country



Inclusiveness countries




If not, why not? (e.g. financial reasons, lack of opportunity, lack of contacts, etc.)

Financial reasons: 46%
Lack of opportunity: 77 %

Financial reasons: 87%
Lack of opportunity: 63 %


Please list opportunities you gained and achievements you realized within the network of the Action!

Opportunities



Did you gain any of these benefits by participating in the Action?

Benefits




STSM

How important was the STSM in your research work on a scale of 1-5?


Non inclusiveness ctry:	3.73	(11 answers)
Inclusiveness ctry:	4.71	(7 answers)

Would you have done the work you did during the STSM without the funding received from the Action?

Non inclusiveness country



Inclusiveness country



Usual reason for “No”: financial reasons (independent on country style)

Final remarks



Largest difference between inclusiveness /non-inclusiveness countries:

financial reasons